Planning Proposal

Proposed 2 Lot Subdivision of Lot 1 DP 842144 – Beranghi Road, Crescent Head



Prepared by Brickwood Planning Services for Les Madigan and Belinda Halls November 2022 (REV1 December 2022)



Revision	Date	Description
Planning	November	
Proposal	2022	
REV1	December 2022	 Amendments requested by Council (2 December 2022): Updated S9.1 Directions (13 December 2022) Replicate Question 12 and include additional comments Complete Project Timeline

This Planning Proposal has been prepared with due skill, care and diligence by BRICKWOOD PLANNING SERVICES based on information provided by the client, other specialists research and investigation undertaken by BRICKWOOD PLANNING SERVICES. Independent verification of the specialist reports that support this Planning Proposal has not been undertaken and for which BRICKWOOD PLANNING SERVICES accepts no responsibility.

This report has been prepared on behalf of and for the exclusive use of the client and BRICKWOOD PLANNING SERVICES accepts no liability or responsibility in respect of any use of or reliance upon this report by any third party.

Robert Pitt

BRICKWOOD PLANNING SERVICES

ABN 69215189724

24 November 2022

(REV1 14 December 2020)



CONTENTS

- 1.0 Introduction
 - 1.1 Land to which this Planning Proposal Applies
- 2.0 Objectives of this Planning Proposal
- 3.0 Explanation of Proposed Provisions
- 4.0 Justification of Strategic and Site-Specific Merit for this Planning Proposal
 - 4.1 Need for this Planning Proposal
 - 4.1.1 Question 1 Is the PP a result of an endorsed local strategic planning statement, strategic study or report?
 - 4.1.2 Question 2 Is the Planning Proposal the best means of achieving the objectives or intended outcome, or is there a better way?
 - 4.2 Relationship of this Planning Proposal to the Strategic Planning Framework
 - 4.2.1 Question 3 Will the planning proposal give effect to the objectives and actions of the applicable regional, or district plan or strategy (including any exhibited draft plans or strategies)?
 - 4.2.2 Question 4 Is the planning proposal consistent with a council local strategic planning statement that has been endorsed by the Planning Secretary or GSC, or another endorsed local strategy or strategic plan?
 - 4.2.3 Question 5 Is the planning proposal consistent with any other applicable State and regional studies or strategies?
 - 4.2.4 Question 6 Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable SEPPs?
 - 4.2.5 Question 7 *Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions (s.9.1 directions)?*
 - 4.3 Environmental, Social and Economic Impacts of this Planning Proposal
 - 4.3.1 Question 8 Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?
 - 4.3.2 Question 9 Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?
 - 4.3.3 Question 10 Has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?
 - 4.4 State and Commonwealth Interests
 - 4.4.1 Question 11 *Is there adequate public infrastructure for the planning proposal?*



4.4.2 Question 12 - What are the views of state and Commonwealth public authorities consulted in accordance with the Gateway Determination?

5.0 Mapping

- 5.1 Current Land Use Zone Applying to the Land
- 5.2 Current Development Standards Relating to the Land (i.e. FSR, building height, minimum lot size)
- 5.3 A Map Illustrating the Extent of the Proposed Revised Development Standard, if a Change to a Development Standard is Proposed
- 6.0 Community Consultation
- 7.0 Project Timeline

Attachments:

- Ecological Assessment for Proposed Subdivision Lot 1 DP842144 Beranghi Road WolfPeak (October 2022)
- Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report Kempsey Local Aboriginal Land Council (September 2022)
- Aboriginal Heritage AHIMS Report (September 2022)
- Bushfire Assessment Report 2 Lot Subdivision Lot 1 DP842144 Beranghi Road, Crescent Head Midcoast Building and Environmental (October 2022)
- Onsite Wastewater Management Assessment Report Proposed 2 Lot Subdivision Lot 1 DP842144 Beranghi Road, Crescent Head - Midcoast Building and Environmental (October 2022)

List of Figures:

- Figure 1: Locality Plan
- Figure 2: Site Plan
- Figure 3: Subdivision Concept Plan
- Figure 4: Existing Minimum Lot Size Source: ePlanning Spatial Viewer
- Figure 5: Proposed Minimum Lot Size
- Figure 6: Extract: Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land Release Strategy
- Figure 7: No. 1022 Crescent Head Road
- Figure 8: Crescent Head Road North of Beranghi Road Release Area
- Figure 9: Dulconghi Estate Release Area
- Figure 10: Maria River Road Release Area
- Figure 11: View towards the east across proposed Lot 1A
- Figure 12: View towards south-east across proposed Lot 1B
- Figure 13: Northern frontage to Beranghi Road
- Figure 14: Southern frontage to Beranghi Road
- Figure 15: Source: ePlanning Spatial Viewer (KLEP 2013 Acid Sulfate Soils Map)
- Figure 16: Figure 11: Source ePlanning Spatial Viewer KLEP 2013 Land Zoning Map
- Figure 17: Source ePlanning Spatial Viewer- Lot Size Map 12 KLEP 2013
- Figure 18: Proposed Revised Lot Size Map 12



1.0 Introduction

This Planning Proposal has been prepared pursuant to Division 3.4 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979* in accordance with the requirements of the NSW Department of Planning and Environment 'Local Environmental Plan Making Guideline – December 2021'.

This Planning Proposal includes an assessment against all relevant statutory requirements, including merit considerations, seeking an amendment to *Kempsey Local Environmental Plan 2013* to permit a proposed two lot subdivision, which concludes that there no statutory or physical impediments that would prevent a favourable consideration of the Planning Proposal.

1.1 Land to which this Planning Proposal Applies

The subject land is a vacant allotment known as Lot 1 DP842144 – Beranghi Road, Crescent Head located on the corner of Crescent Head Road and Beranghi Road, which has an area of approximately 7.938ha.



Figure 1: Locality Plan



Figure 2: Site Plan



Figure 3: Subdivision Concept Plan





2.0 Objectives and Intended Outcomes of this Planning Proposal

To amend *Kempsey Local Environmental Plan 2013* to enable subdivision of the land into two allotments.

3.0 Explanation of Proposed Provisions

The intent of this Planning Proposal is to amend *Kempsey Local Environmental Plan 2013* to reduce the minimum allowable lot size that applies to the subject land from 8ha to 4ha.

The proposed outcome will be achieved by amending the applicable Minimum Lot Size Map that forms part of *Kempsey Local Environmental Plan 2013*. The size of the subject land is 7.938ha, thereby necessitating consideration of a minor Clause 4.6 variation at DA stage for one of the proposed lots. Although subject to a future DA, it is noted that the proposed variation:

- Represents only a 1.5% reduction to the proposed minimum lot size standard.
- Is consistent with the relevant aims of *Kempsey Local Environmental Plan 2013* contained in clause 1.2 as:
 - The lot layout represents an orderly and economic use of the land, without creating any potential conflict with existing and expected adjoining land uses.
 - No clearing is required to establish the identified building envelopes.
 - No impact on any cultural heritage values will result from the subdivision.
- Is consistent with the relevant objectives of the RU4 zone that applies to the land as:
 - The lots are large enough to facilitate potential primary enterprises without conflicting with neighbours.
 - The proposal is consistent with the existing and planned pattern of development for the locality.
- Is consistent with the relevant objectives of the proposed minimum lot size contained in clause 4.1 as:
 - The proposed lots do not contain any prime agricultural land.
 - The subdivision represents a more efficient use of existing road, electricity and telecommunications infrastructure.
- Is consistent with the relevant objectives contained in clause 4.2A related to the future erection of dwellings, being consistent with the *Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land Release Strategy*.





Figure 4: Existing Minimum Lot Size – Source: ePlanning Spatial Viewer

Figure 5: Proposed Minimum Lot Size





4.0 Justification of Strategic and Site-Specific Merit for this Planning Proposal

4.1 - Need for this Planning Proposal

<u>4.1.1 - Question 1</u> – Is the PP a result of an endorsed local strategic planning statement, strategic study or report?

The Planning Proposal is consistent with the *Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land Release Strategy* that has been duly endorsed by the Department of Planning and Environment. The subject land is located in an area identified for Stage 2 release as being suitable for subdivision into allotments of 4ha. The basis for inclusion of the area containing the subject land is to rationalise the minimum lot size that applies to other land zoned RU4 within the Shire without compromising any environmental values. *(See Section 4.2.2 - 'Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land Release Strategy')*

<u>4.1.2 - Question 2</u> – Is the Planning Proposal the best means of achieving the objectives or intended outcome, or is there a better way?</u>

An alternative means of achieving the intent of this Planning Proposal would be to include a 2 lot subdivision under Clause 2.5, Schedule 1 – Additional Permitted Uses, which is less favoured over the proposed amendment to the Minimum Lot Size Map for the following reasons:-

- The Minimum Lot Size Map under *Kempsey Local Environmental Plan 2013* is the primary mechanism for identifying the minimum permissible allotment sizes in different areas across the Shire.
- Amendment of the Minimum Lot Size Map is the simplest mechanism available.
- The alternative of including a 2 lot subdivision of the subject land as an additional permitted use requires the drafting of a separate text amendment which increases the potential for error or misinterpretation.
- Amendment of the Minimum Lot Size Map lends itself more readily to digital mapping which is the most common method for accessing information relating to land parcels.

4.2 - Relationship of this Planning Proposal to the Strategic Planning Framework

<u>4.2.1 - Question 3</u> - Will the planning proposal give effect to the objectives and actions of the applicable regional, or district plan or strategy (including any exhibited draft plans or strategies)?

The Planning Proposal has been assessed against the following relevant implementation actions and directions of the *North Coast Regional Plan 2036*.

	North Coast Regional Plan 2036	COMMENT	
	Goal 1: The Most Stunning Environment in NSW		
Directio	Direction 1: Deliver environmentally sustainable growth		
	Focus future urban development to mapped urban growth areas	N/A	



	NORTH COAST REGIONAL PLAN 2036	COMMENT
Action 1.2	Review urban growth investigation areas to identify sites of high environmental value	N/A
Action 1.3	Identify residential, commercial, or industrial uses in local growth management strategy	N/A
Action 1.4	Prepare land release criteria for residential, commercial and industrial uses	N/A
Directi	on 2: Enhance biodiversity, coastal and aquatic	habitats and water catchments
Action 2.1	Focus Development in areas of least biodiversity sensitivity in the region and implement the 'avoid, minimise, offset' hierarchy to biodiversity, including areas of high environmental value	The Planning Proposal will facilitate the subdivision of the subject land that is consistent with the existing and planned pattern of development in the locality. The subject land is not identified as being of high conservation value, future dwelling sites have been identified that will avoid the need for clearing and an area of existing Scribbly Gum forest will be retained to facilitate offset planting for the removal of one (1) White Stringybark tree. <i>(See 'Section 4.3.1 – Question 8')</i>
Action 2.2	Ensure local plans manage marine environments, water catchment areas and groundwater sources to avoid potential development impacts	A preliminary Wastewater Management report has been prepared which demonstrates that effluent generated by any future dwellings can be disposed of wholly on within each allotment so as not to impact on pre-development discharge of water from the subject land. A preliminary assessment of the potential occurrence of Acid Sulfate Soils (ASS) demonstrates that potential ASS will not be affected of any future development. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 – 'On-site Wastewater Management' and 'Acid Sulfate Soils')

	NORTH COAST REGIONAL PLAN 2036	COMMENT		
Action 3.1	Reduce the risk from natural hazards, including the projected effects of climate change, by identifying and managing vulnerable areas and hazards	The subject land is located outside of the Coastal Land Application Area as mapped under Chapter 2 <i>State Environmental</i> <i>Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazards)</i> 2021 and, with the possible indirect exception of bushfire, is not subject to any hazards likely to be exacerbated by Climate Change. <i>(See 'Section 4.2.4 –</i> <i>Question 6')</i>		
Action 3.2	Review and update floodplain risk, bushfire and coastal management mapping to manage risk, particularly where urban growth is being investigated	The subject land is mapped as being bushfire prone, thereby requiring consideration of potential associated risks. The subject land is not identified as being affected by any flooding or coastal hazards. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 - Bushfire Management')		
Action 3.3	Incorporate new knowledge on regional climate projections and related cumulative impacts in local plans for new urban development	N/A		
Directio	on 4: Promote renewable energy opportunities			
Action 4.1	Diversify the energy sector by identifying renewable energy precincts and infrastructure corridors	N/A		
Action 4.2	Enable smaller-scale renewable energy projects	N/A		
Action 4.3	Promote community renewable energy projects	N/A		
	Goal 2: A thriving, interconnected economy			
Directio	on 5: Strengthen communities of interest and cr	oss-regional relationships		
Action 5.1	Collaborate on regional and inter-regional housing and employment land delivery	N/A		
Action 5.2	Integrate cross-border land use planning	N/A		



	North Coast Regional Plan 2036	COMMENT
Action 5.3	Encourage cooperation between Tweed and Gold Coast	N/A
Action 5.4	Prepare regional economic development strategy	N/A
Direction	on 6: Develop successful centres of employment	
Action 6.1	Facilitate economic activity around industry anchors	N/A
Action 6.2	Promote knowledge industries through flexible planning controls	N/A
Action 6.3	Reinforce centres through local growth management strategies	N/A
Action 6.4	Focus retail and commercial activities in existing centres	N/A
Action 6.5	Promote a mix of land uses whilst protecting employment lands	N/A
Action 6.6	Delivery an adequate supply of employment land	N/A
Action 6.7	Monitor employment land delivery	N/A
Direction	on 7: Coordinate the growth of regional cities	
Action 7.1	Prepare action plans for regional cities	N/A
Direction	on 8: Promote the growth of tourism	
Action 8.1	Facilitate appropriate large-scale tourist developments in prime locations	N/A
Action 8.2	Facilitate tourist and visitor accommodation	N/A
Action 8.3	Prepare destination management plans	N/A
Action 8.4	Promote nature-based tourism	N/A



	North Coast Regional Plan 2036	COMMENT
Action 8.5	Preserve existing tourist accommodation	N/A
Directi	on 9: Strengthen regionally significant transport	corridors
Action 9.1	Encourage business and employment	N/A
Action 9.2	Provide buffers to minimise impacts on road and rail corridors	N/A
Action 9.3	Effectively manage state and regional road network	N/A
Directi	on 10: Facilitate air, rail and public transport infi	rastructure
Action 10.1	Deliver airport precinct plans	N/A
Action 10.2	Consider airport related employment opportunities	N/A
Action 10.3	Protect the North Coast Rail Line	N/A
Action 10.4	Provide public transport	N/A
Action 10.5	Deliver safe and efficient transport network	N/A
Directi	on 11: Protect and enhance productive agricultu	ral lands
Action 11.1	Enable the growth of the agricultural sector by directing urban and RR development away from important farmland across the region and identifying locations to support existing and small lot-primary production, such as horticulture in Coffs Harbour	The subject land lies within an identified rural residential land release area, partly on the basis that the land does not comprise land mapped as containing important farmland. The proposed 4ha minimum lot size for the immediate locality is sufficient to facilitate small-lot primary production. The RU4 zone will remain unchanged, the objectives of which seek to encourage these types of developments.



	North Coast Regional Plan 2036	COMMENT
Action 11.2	Deliver a consistent management approach to important farmland across the region by updating the Mid North Coast Farmland Mapping Project (2008).	The land is not identified as containing any regionally significant farmland.
Action 11.3	Identify and protect intensive agriculture clusters in local plans to avoid land use conflicts, particularly with residential and rural residential expansion.	The subject land is not located in proximity to any existing or likely future intensive agricultural land uses or clusters.
Action 11.4	Encourage niche commercial, tourist and recreational activities that complement and promote a stronger agricultural sector.	The RU4 zone will remain unchanged that encourages a range of activities, to which the Planning Proposal is compatible.
Action 11.5	Address sector-specific considerations for agricultural industries	N/A
Directio	on 12: Grow agribusiness across the region	
Action 12.1	Promote the expansion of food and fibre production, agrichemicals, farm machinery, wholesale od freight and logistics	N/A
Action 12.2	Encourage colocation of intensive primary industries	N/A
Action 12.3	Leverage proximity to Brisbane and Gold Coast for agribusiness	N/A
Action 12.4	Facilitate investment in the agricultural supply chain by protecting assets	N/A
Directio	on 13: Sustainably manage natural resources	l
Action 13.1	Enable the development of the region's natural, mineral and forestry resources by directing residential development to suitable locations to avoid potential noise, dust and light impacts.	The subject land is located adjacent to the Maria National Park and is not within proximity to any extractive industries or other type of land use likely to generate dust, noise or light.
Action 13.2	Plan for the ongoing productive use of lands with regionally significant construction material resources.	The subject land is not located within proximity to any lands with regionally significant construction material resources.
	Goal 3: Vibrant and engage	ged communities



	North Coast Regional Plan 2036	COMMENT			
Directio	Direction 14: Provide great places to live and work				
Action 14.1	Prepare precinct plans in centres bypassed by the pacific Highway.	N/A			
Action 14.2	Deliver precinct plans in accordance with guidelines.	N/A			
Directio	on 15: Develop healthy, safe, socially engaged a	nd well connected communities			
Action 15.1	Deliver best-practice guidelines for healthy built environments.	N/A			
Action 15.2	Facilitate recreational walking and cycling paths.	N/A			
Action 15.3	Implement actions and investment in boating infrastructure.	N/A			
Action 15.4	Create socially inclusive communities.	N/A			
Action 15.5	Deliver crime prevention through environmental design.	N/A			
Directio	on 16: Collaborate and partner with aboriginal c	ommunities			
Action 16.1	Develop partnerships with Aboriginal communities to facilitate engagement during the planning process, including the development of engagement plans	The local Aboriginal community has been engaged directly via the Kempsey Local Aboriginal Land Council in the preparation of this Planning Proposal. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 - Aboriginal Archaeology')			
Action 16.2	Ensure Aboriginal communities are engaged throughout the preparation of local growth management strategies and local environmental plans.	The local Aboriginal community has been engaged directly via the Kempsey Local Aboriginal Land Council in the preparation of this Planning Proposal. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 - Aboriginal Archaeology')			
Directio	Direction 17: Increase the economic self-determination of Aboriginal communities				
Action 17.1	Deliver opportunities to increase Aboriginal independence.	N/A			



	North Coast Regional Plan 2036	COMMENT		
Action 17.2	Foster closer cooperation with the Aboriginal community.	N/A		
Action 17.3	Identify sites for economic development potential for LALCs.	N/A		
Directio	on 18: Respect and protect the North Coast's Ab	original Heritage		
Action 18.1	Ensure Aboriginal objects and places are protected, managed and respected in accordance with legislative requirements and the wishes of local Aboriginal communities	An archaeological assessment, including site survey was undertaken by the Kempsey Local Aboriginal Land Council in the preparation of this Planning Proposal with no items identified that are of significance to the local Aboriginal community. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 - Aboriginal Archaeology')		
Action 18.2	Undertake Aboriginal cultural heritage assessment to inform the design of planning and development proposals so that impacts to Aboriginal cultural heritage are minimised and appropriate heritage management mechanism are identified.	As above		
Action 18.3	Develop local heritage studies in consultation with the Aboriginal community.	N/A		
Action 18.4	Prepare maps to identify sites of Aboriginal heritage.	N/A		
Directio	on 19: Protect historic heritage			
Action 19.1	Ensure best practice guidelines are considered such as the Australia International Council on Monument and Sites (ICOMOS) charter for Places of Cultural significance and the NSW Heritage Manual when assessing significance.	The subject land does not contain, or is within the vicinity of any identified or listed Items of Environmental Heritage.		
Action 19.2	Prepare and review heritage studies.	N/A		
Action 19.3	Deliver adaptive use of heritage items.	N/A		
Directio	Direction 20: Maintain the region's distinctive built character			



	North Coast Regional Plan 2036	COMMENT		
Action 20.1	New development to be consistent with North Coast Design Guidelines	N/A		
Action 20.2	Review North Coast Design Guidelines.	N/A		
Directio	on 21: Coordinate local infrastructure delivery			
Action 21.1	Undertake infrastructure planning for major release areas.	N/A		
Action 21.2	Maximise the cost-effective and efficient use of infrastructure by directing development towards existing infrastructure or promoting the co-location of new infrastructure	The proposed subdivision gains access via Beranghi Road which is constructed and maintained by Council to bitumen sealed standard. The proposed subdivision will also access existing electricity and telecommunication infrastructure, thereby contributing to cost-effectiveness.		
Directio	on 22: Deliver greater housing supply			
Action 22.1	Deliver an appropriate supply of residential land within local growth management strategies and local plans to meet the regions projected housing needs	Rural residential development in identified release areas is expected to accommodate a significant proportion of the anticipated population growth for Kempsey Shire, to which the proposed development will contribute and is consistent with the <i>Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land</i> <i>Release Strategy. (See 'Section 4.2.2 –</i> <i>Question 4')</i>		
Action 22.2	Facilitate housing options for temporary residents.	N/A		
Action 22.3	Monitor supply of residential land.	N/A		
Directio	Direction 23: Increase housing diversity and choice			
Action 23.1	Encourage housing diversity.	N/A		

	North Coast Regional Plan 2036	COMMENT
23.2	Develop local growth management strategies to respond to changing housing needs, including household and demographic changes, and support initiatives to increase ageing in place.	N/A Ising areas
Action 24.1	 Facilitate the delivery of well-planned rural reshousing areas by: identifying new rural residential areas in a local growth management strategy or rural residential land release strategy endorsed by the Department of Planning and Environment; and ensure that such proposals are consistent with the Settlement Planning Guidelines: Mid and Far North Coast Regional Strategies (2007) or land release criteria (once finalised). 	The subject land lies within an identified land release area and this Planning Proposal will increase the supply of land for rural residential purposes in accordance with the duly endorsed <i>Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land</i> <i>Release Strategy. (See 'Section 4.2.2 - Question 4')</i> The Planning Proposal is consistent with the locality-specific issues identified for the Crescent Head release areas. <i>(See 'Section 4.2.2 – Question 4')</i>
24.2	Enable sustainable use of the region's sensitive coastal strip by ensuring new rural residential areas are located outside the coastal strip, unless already identified in a local growth management strategy or rural residential land release strategy endorsed by the Department of Planning and Environment.	The subject land is not within any area affected by <i>State Environmental Planning</i> <i>Policy (Hazards and Resilience) 2021. (See</i> <i>'Section 4.2.4 – Question 6')</i>
Action 25.1	Deliver more opportunities for affordable housing.	N/A
	Prepare guidelines for local housing strategies.	N/A

At the time of writing, the public exhibition of the draft *North Coast Regional Plan 2041* had been completed with submissions under consideration. The following is an assessment of the Planning Proposal against the relevant requirements of the draft plan.



DRAFT	NORTH COAST REGIONAL PLAN 2041	COMMENT			
	Part 1: Thriving and Sustainable				
	Goal 1: Liveable and Resilient				
Objective 2	1 : Provide well located homes to meet dema	nd			
Strategy 1.1	Local Council Plans should ensure that a 10 year supply of zoned and developable residential land is provided and maintained.	N/A			
Action 1	Establish the North Coast Urban Development Program	N/A			
Strategy 1.2	Local council plans are to encourage and facilitate a range of housing options	N/A			
Strategy 1.3	Council housing strategies to prioritise new infill development	N/A			
Strategy 1.4	Local housing strategies to be consistent with guidelines	N/A			
Strategy 1.5	New rural residential housing is to be located on land which has been approved in an existing strategy endorsed by the Department of Planning and Environment and is to be directed away from the coastal strip.	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the <i>Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land</i> <i>Release Strategy</i> which has been endorsed by the Department of Planning and Environment and the land is not located in the Coastal Zone. <i>(See 'Section</i> <i>4.2.2 - Question 4')</i>			
Strategy 1.6	Council's and LALCs can partner to facilitate rural land sharing	N/A			
Action 2	Release a standard planning pathway and assessment guide to manage accommodation for itinerant workers	N/A			
Objective 2	Objective 2: Provide for More Affordable and Low Cost Housing				
Action 3	Establish Housing Affordability roundtables	N/A			
Objective	3: Protect and Enhance Important Environme	ntal Assets			
Strategy 3.1	Protect, maintain and restore important environmental assets in strategic planning and local plans by:				



DRAFT	NORTH COAST REGIONAL PLAN 2041	COMMENT
	Focusing landuse intensification away from HEV land	Areas of the subject land with HEV (a non- EEC Scribbly Gum forest) are proposed to be protected by a restrictive covenant with no clearing required to establish the identified building envelopes. <i>(See 'Section 4.3.1 – Question 8')</i>
	Updating biodiversity mapping with new mapping in LEPs where appropriate.	Given the proximity of the Scribbly Gum forest, which is not an EEC, to the adjoining Maria National Park, a restrictive covenant is the most effective protective mechanism as Council does not favour the creation of C2 zoned <i>'islands'</i> with the nearest C2 zoned land located approximately 3.5km to the east that is separated by rural zoned land.
	Identify HEV land within rezoning footprint at planning proposal stage through site investigation.	The site investigation undertaken indicates that subject land does not contain any EECs, however, an area of Scribbly Gum forest will be protected by a restrictive covenant. (See 'Section 4.3.1 – Question 8')
	Applying appropriate mechanisms to protect HEV land within a rezoning footprint.	No amendment to the RU4 zone is proposed. The restrictive covenant that may be in the form of a Section 88E Public Positive Covenant would be the most appropriate mechanism to be considered at DA stage. (See 'Section 4.3.1 – Question 8')
	Consider climate change risks to HEV land	The subject land is not flood prone or subject to any coastal processes that will be affected by climate change.
	Consider marine environments, water catchment areas and groundwater sources to avoid potential development impacts.	N/A
Strategy 3.2	Protect biodiversity values in new urban release areas	N/A



DRAFT	NORTH COAST REGIONAL PLAN 2041	COMMENT
Strategy 3.3	Consider climate change refuges for threatened and other key species.	The Scribbly Gum forest is well represented in the adjoining Maria National Park that represents a more strategic approach to this issue.
Objective 4	4: Understand, Celebrate and Integrate Abori	ginal Culture
Strategy 4.1	Council's to undertake cultural heritage mapping to protect culturally important sites.	The assessment carried out by the Kempsey Local Aboriginal Land Council indicates that the subject land does not contain any culturally important sites. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 - Aboriginal Archaeology')
Strategy 4.2	Consider dual names for important features	N/A
Action 4	Finalise Connecting with Country	N/A
Objective ! Change	5: Manage and Improve Resilience to Shocks	and Stresses, Natural Hazards and Climate
Strategy 5.1	Strategic plans to adopt principals in Strategic Guide to Planning for Natural Hazards	N/A
Strategy 5.2	Complete hazard strategy where significant risk from natural hazard is known.	N/A
Strategy 5.3	Use local strategic planning to adapt to climate change and associated natural hazards	N/A
Strategy 5.4	Considerations for resilience and adaptation plans	N/A
Strategy 5.5	Councils to identify the mapping for coastal vulnerability areas under SEPP (Resilience and Hazards) 2021	N/A
Strategy 5.6	Support Cultural Land Management practices	N/A
Action 5	Work with councils to provide tools for risk management approach to natural hazard management	N/A



DRAF	T NORTH COAST REGIONAL PLAN 2041	COMMENT	
Objective	6: Create a Circular Economy	I	
Strategy 6.1	Support the development of a circular economy	N/A	
Strategy 6.2	Use strategic planning and waste management strategies to support a circular economy	N/A	
Objective	7: Promote renewable energy opportunities	1	
Strategy 7.1	Strategic plans to support renewable energy and early community consultation	N/A	
Strategy 7.2	When reviewing LEPs and LSPs ensure renewable energy infrastructure encouraged, identify and mitigate impacts on views, undertake hazard studies, encourage energy efficient buildings	N/A	
Strategy 7.3	Support decarbonisation of freight and private vehicles	N/A	
Objective	8: Support the productivity of agricultural lan	d	
Strategy 8.1	Local planning should protect and maintain the productive capacity of Important Agricultural Land in the region by directing urban, rural residential and other incompatible development away from important farmland.	The subject land has been identified in the <i>Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land</i> <i>Release Strategy</i> for subdivision down to lots of 4ha, partly on the basis that the land is not mapped as containing Important Agricultural Land.	
Strategy 8.2	Local planning should assist and support the agricultural sector to be more sustainable and resilient	The proposed lots are large enough to support potential rural activities without conflicting with neighbours.	
Objective	Objective 9: Sustainably manage and conserve water resources		
Strategy 9.1	Strategic planning and local plans should consider:		
	Impacts to water quality from land use change	N/A	
	Water supply availability	N/A	
	Partnering with Aboriginal community	N/A	



DRAF	r North Coast Regional Plan 2041	COMMENT
	Minimise impacts on water catchments	N/A
	Diversify town water sources	N/A
	Promote integrated water cycle management	N/A
	Encourage water reuse	N/A
	Improve stormwater management	N/A
	Ensure sustainability of high water use industries	N/A
	Protect drinking water	N/A
Strategy 9.2	Protect Marine Parks and coastal estuaries	N/A
Strategy 9.3	Encourage whole of catchment management	N/A
Objective	10: Sustainably manage the productivity of ou	ur natural resources
Strategy 10.1	Enable the development of the regions natural, mineral and forestry resources by avoiding interfaces with land uses that are sensitive to impacts from noise, dust and light interference.	The subject land is located adjacent to the Maria National Park and is not within proximity to any extractive industries or another other type of land use likely to generate dust, noise or light.
Strategy 10.2	Plan for the ongoing productive use of lands with regionally significant construction material resources.	The subject land is not located within proximity to any lands with regionally significant construction material resources.
	Goal 2: Productive and	Connected
Objective land	11: Support cities and centres and coordinate	the supply of well located employment
Strategy 11.1	Local council plans to reinforce cities and N/A centres	
Strategy 11.2	/ Strategic planning and land use plans to reinforce commercial centres	
11.2	Simplify planning controls	N/A
	Developing active city streets	N/A

Brickwood Planning Services ABN 69215189724 Email: <u>rbrickwood.pitt@gmail.com</u> Phone: 0437 040 448

DRAF	T NORTH COAST REGIONAL PLAN 2041	COMMENT
	Respond to changing retail environment	N/A
	Maximise transport and community facilities	N/A
Strategy 11.3	Support existing and new economic activities local plans:	by ensuring local strategic planning and
	Protect significant employment lands	N/A
	Respond to characteristics of workforce in the LGA and neighbouring LGAs	The Planning Proposal responds to a strong demand for rural residential allotments that is in limited supply in the Port Macquarie Hastings LGA.
	Identify local and subregional specialisations	N/A
	Identify and service future employment lands	N/A
	Provide flexibility in local planning controls	N/A
	Respond to changes in industry	N/A
	Provide flexibility for commercial centres	N/A
	Reinforce existing commercial centres	N/A
Strategy 11.4	New employment areas strategy to be endorsed by Department of Planning and Environment	N/A
Objective	12: Create a diverse visitor economy	
Strategy	Council strategic planning and local plans to c	consider:
12.1	Enhance town centre precincts	N/A
	Create green spaces	N/A
	Support cultural activities	N/A
	Identify areas for tourist accommodation	N/A



DRAF	NORTH COAST REGIONAL PLAN 2041	COMMENT
	Protect heritage, biodiversity and agriculture to enhance cultural tourism, agritourism and ecotourism	The Planning Proposal demonstrates that these values will be protected.
	Partner with Aboriginal communities	N/A
	Support night time economy	N/A
	Provide flexibility for agritourism and ectourism	N/A
	Improve connection to heritage	N/A
	Incorporate transport planning	N/A
Objective	13: Champion Aboriginal self-determination	
Strategy 13.1	Provide opportunities for LALCs to utilise the planning system	N/A
Strategy 13.2	Prioritise unresolved land claims	N/A
Strategy 13.3	Partner with Aboriginal community to align aspirations with strategic planning	N/A
Strategy 13.4	Planning teams to engage with Aboriginal community	N/A
Action 6	Department of Planning and Environment to work with LALCs.	N/A
Objective	14: Deliver new industries of the future	
Strategy 14.1	Facilitate agribusiness employment	N/A
Strategy 14.2	Protect agricultural clusters from residential and rural residential land uses.	There are no agricultural clusters in proximity to the subject land.
Objective	15: Improve state and regional connectivity	ı
Strategy 15.1	Protect the North Coast Rail Line from incompatible land uses	The subject land is not in proximity to the North Coast Rail Line.
Strategy 15.2	Protect the function of airports, roads and rail corridors.	The subject land is not in proximity to any airports, roads or rail corridors.



DRAFT	NORTH COAST REGIONAL PLAN 2041	COMMENT
Objective 1	6: Increase active and public transport usage	2
Strategy 16.1	Encourage active and public transport by:	
10.1	Prioritising pedestrian amenity	N/A
	Provide network of pedestrian and cycle facilities	N/A
	Deliver accessible transit stops	N/A
	Incorporate new areas in bus contracts	N/A
	Integrate active and public transport facilities	N/A
	Prioritise infill housing	N/A
Strategy 16.2	Local plans to integrate land use and transport planning	N/A
Action 7	Provide guidance for active travel strategy	N/A
Objective 1	7: Utilise new transport technology	
Strategy 17.1	Support new transport technology in local plans	N/A
	Part 2: Growth Change ar	nd Opportunity
	Goal 3: Planning for Co	ommunities
-	8: Coordinate land use planning and the exte l community need	ension of the urban footprint for future
Action 8	Undertake housing and employment land review	N/A
Objective 1	9: Public spaces and green infrastructure sup	pport connected and healthy communities
Strategy Undertake public space needs analysis, including: 19.1		ding:
13.1	Plan for new and improved open space	N/A
	Seek community feedback on needs	N/A
	Prioritise new open space areas based on need	N/A

DRAFT	NORTH COAST REGIONAL PLAN 2041	COMMENT
	Consider future needs	N/A
	Identify walkable connectivity improvements	N/A
	Link and enhance open space areas	N/A
Strategy 19.2	Public open space improvements to consider local conditions	N/A
Strategy 19.3	Encourage use of council land for community events	N/A
Strategy 19.4	Increase urban tree canopy	N/A
Strategy	LEP amendments to reclassify public open sp	pace to consider:
19.5	Role of land in network	N/A
	Strategic context	N/A
	Detail where proceeds of any land sales to be spent	N/A
	Net benefit or gain to open space	N/A
Objective 2	0: Celebrate local character	
Strategy 20.1	Ensure strategies and local plans recognise local character in accordance with guidelines	N/A
Strategy Celebrate buildings of local heritage significance by:		ince by:
20.2	Retaining the existing use where possible	N/A
	Establish appropriate reuses	N/A
	Exploring history and significance	N/A
	Consider temporary uses	N/A
	Design for future uses	N/A
	Local Government Na	rratives



Brickwood Planning Services ABN 69215189724 Email: rbrickwood.pitt@gmail.com Phone: 0437 040 448

DRAFT NOF	TH COAST REGIONAL PLAN 2041	COMMENT
Regional Priorities	Support the growth and diversification of the Shire's agricultural base and encourage new opportunities for agribusiness and associated manufacturing and transport.	The Planning Proposal is not inconsistent with these priorities.
	Promote indigenous cultural heritage.	
Liveable and Resilient	Support environmentally sustainable development	The Planning Proposal is consistent with these priorities.
	Retain and protect biodiversity	
Productive and Connected	Support job opportunities in the West Kempsey Health and Education precincts.	N/A
	Develop enterprise corridor South Kempsey to Frederickton and employment land options for South West Rocks	N/A
	Support the sustainable use of farmland	N/A
	Expand nature-based tourism	N/A
Housing and Place	Deliver housing at South West Rocks, West Kempsey and South Kempsey	N/A
	Maintain character of towns and villages	N/A
	Deliver rural residential housing opportunities across the LGA at Collombatti, Frederickton, Yarravel, Euroka, Dondingalong, Verges Creek, Crescent Head, South Kempsey, Yarrahapinni and Kundabung	The Planning Proposal is consistent with this priority being in accordance with the <i>Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land</i> <i>Release Strategy</i> .
	Provide diverse housing opportunities.	N/A
Smart, Connected and	Foster a stronger sub regional identity.	N/A
Accessible (Infrastructure)	Provide opportunities for jobs at Frederickton, Stuarts Point and south Kempsey by increasing connectivity	N/A

DRAFT NO	RTH COAST REGIONAL PLAN 2041	COMMENT
	Coordinate infrastructure delivery	N/A

<u>4.2.2 - Question 4</u> – Is the planning proposal consistent with a council local strategic planning statement that has been endorsed by the Planning Secretary or GSC, or another endorsed local strategy or strategic plan?

Future Macleay Growth and Character - Local Strategic Planning Statement (July 2020)

The Planning Proposal is consistent with the Statement as it will:

- contribute to an adequate land supply
- support the effective and efficient use of infrastructure
- avoid the potential for land-use conflict with rural land uses
- utilise the land for its most suitable purpose
- not affect any areas with environmental values, natural hazards or which are part of a water supply area.

Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land Release Strategy

The subject land is located in an area identified for Stage 2 release under *Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land Release Strategy* as being suitable for subdivision into allotments of not less than 4ha. The timing of release is described as 2019 to 2023 and is subject to the rate of take-up of land identified for Stage 1 release (2014 to 2018).





In respect to the Stage 1 release areas for Crescent Head:

The allotment immediately to the north of the subject land is the subject of a recently approved Planning Proposal (PP-2021-7144 – Notified as completed – epi2022-661 Map Amendment 3 – 2 November 2022) for a similar proposed 2 lot subdivision.



Figure 7: No. 1022 Crescent Head Road

• The area immediately to the north of the intersection of Beranghi Road and Crescent Head Road identified in *Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land Release Strategy* as having an area of 17ha has potential for 1 additional lot on which a dwelling exists. *Figure 8: Crescent Head Road North of Beranghi Road Release Area*





Brickwood Planning Services ABN 69215189724 Email: <u>rbrickwood.pitt@gmail.com</u> Phone: 0437 040 448 • The subdivision known as the 'Dulconghi Estate' identified in Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land Release Strategy as having an area of 54ha has largely been subdivided to its potential with few, if any, additional lots likely to be created, having regard to flooding and bushfire constraints.



Figure 9: Dulconghi Estate Release Area

• The area on the corner of Maria River Road and Crescent Head Road identified in *Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land Release Strategy* as having an area of 28ha has been subdivided into 14 allotments east of Maria River Road. The area west of Maria River Road is likely to result in a reduced lot yield, having regard to vegetation and bushfire constraints.







Although the Planning Proposal is consistent with the release staging of the Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land Release Strategy, Clause 7.1 also provides criteria that are relevant to the consideration of the Planning Proposal.

Criteria	Comments
1. The premature subdivision of the land will not result in the uneconomic provision of public infrastructure, including, but not limited to road access.	Apart from the area immediately north of the subject land, which has potential for one additional 4ha lot and the area north of the Beranghi Road/ Crescent Head Road intersection, which has limited potential for subdivision into 4ha lots, the other Stage 1 areas provide for subdivision into 1ha allotments that have largely either been taken up or are subject to constraints. The subject land represents a logical extension of the 4ha minimal allotment size area, extending from Crescent Head Road southward along Beranghi Road. Bitumen sealed road access, electricity and telecommunications infrastructure are also available.
2. The land will be serviced by a bitumen sealed road in accordance with <i>Kempsey DCP 2013</i> .	The subject land is located on Beranghi Road that is constructed and maintained by Council to bitumen sealed standard.
3. The land will not result in any unacceptable potential conflict with existing agricultural, commercial or industrial land uses.	Adjoining uses include Maria National Park to the west and rural residential allotments to the north, south and east, to which the proposed subdivision is compatible.
4. The proposal will not result in any significant oversupply of land within the locality, having regard to any existing zoned land or approved subdivisions.	Except for the potential for reducing the minimum lot size in the area serviced by Beranghi Road from 8ha to 4ha, the land at Crescent Head identified for Stage 1 release has largely been taken up. The contribution of the proposed two lot subdivision to the overall local supply is insignificant.
5. The proposal is consistent with the objectives of this strategy as referred to in Section 1.1	
To review Council's Rural Residential Land Development Strategy 1990 to meet the requirements of the Mid-North Coast Regional Strategy and Settlement Planning Guidelines for the North Coast Region.	Not inconsistent
To provide for locality-specific demand for rural residential development through the staged release of suitable land over the short, medium and longer term.	The proposed variation will permit subdivision in an economic and orderly manner in an area identified for subdivision into 4ha allotments.
To ensure that rural residential development is undertaken in an ecologically sustainable manner to increase investment and economic activity within the Shire without diminishing the	The subject land contains ample cleared areas to facilitate the erection of additional dwellings in compliance with relevant bushfire guidelines and wastewater management requirements



important physical and environmental attributes upon which the community	without the need for any clearing. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9')
relies. To avoid unsustainable rural residential development that is likely to result in costs being borne by the community associated with provision of infrastructure or in response to bushfire or flooding.	All required road, electricity and telecommunications infrastructure is available, the land is flood free and bushfire risk can readily be controlled without the need for additional clearing.
To rationalise the minimum lot size within the <i>RU4 Rural Primary Production Small</i> <i>Lots</i> (Formerly 1(g)) zone, commensurate with the social, economic, environmental and governance constraints applying to the relevant locality.	 The Planning Proposal would permit the rationalisation of the minimum lot size to 4ha commensurate with existing constraints and available infrastructure. The proposed subdivision is not subject to any of the locality-specific constraints identified for Crescent Head as: Rationalisation of access off Beranghi Road is achieved Potential fauna corridor linkages would be maintained of enhanced Clearing is not required to satisfy bushfire requirements The subject land is not affected by other identified constraints related to visual impacts, flooding, proximity to wetlands and acid sulfate soils.

<u>4.2.3 - Question 5</u> - *Is the planning proposal consistent with any other applicable State and regional studies or strategies?*

The planning proposal is consistent with the *North Coast Regional Plan 2036* and *Draft North Coast Regional Plan 2041,* as detailed in section 4.2.1 above. An assessment of the consistency with State strategies is detailed in section 4.2.4 below.

<u>4.2.4 – Question 6</u> – Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable SEPPs?

The Planning Proposal has been assessed against the requirements of applicable State Environmental Planning Policies as follows:

	STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES		
Applicable State Environmental Planning Policy		Comments	
Biodiversity and Conservation (2021)			
C	Chapter 2 – Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas	N/A	
C	Chapter 3 – Koala Habitat Protection 2020	N/A	



STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES				
Chapter 4 - Koala Habitat Protection (2021)	The Planning Proposal has been assessed under the current requirements of the SEPP, including Council's Koala Comprehensive Plan of Management. The Planning Proposal is consistent with all relevant requirements, noting that, with the exception of one tree, no clearing is required to facilitate the erection of future dwellings and an existing Scribbly Gum forest area will be protected by an appropriate title restriction. (See 'Section 4.3.1 – Question 8')			
Chapter 5 – River Murray Lands	N/A			
Chapter 6 – Bushland in Urban Areas	N/A			
Chapter 7 – Canal Estate Development	N/A			
Chapter 8 – Sydney Drinking Water Catchment	N/A			
Chapter 9 – Hawkesbury-Nepean River	N/A			
Chapter 10 – Sydney Harbour Catchment	N/A			
Chapter 11 – Georges River Catchment	N/A			
Chapter 12 – Willandra Lakes Region World Heritage Property	N/A			
Chapter 13 – Strategic Conservation Planning	N/A			
Resilience and Hazards (2021)				
Chapter 2 – Coastal Management	N/A			
Chapter 3 – Hazardous and Offensive Development	N/A			
Chapter 4 - Remediation of Land	To the best knowledge of the owner who has held the land since 1995, the land has not been used for any purpose listed in Table 1 of <i>Managing Land Contamination</i> – <i>Planning Guidelines</i> – <i>SEPP 55 Remediation</i> <i>of Land</i> .			
Fransport and Infrastructure (2021)				



STATE ENVIRONMENTA	L PLANNING POLICIES
Chapter 2 - Infrastructure	The proposed 2 lot subdivision is not a matter that is required to be referred to Transport for NSW.
Chapter 3 – Educational Establishments and Child Care Facilities	N/A
Chapter 4 – Major Infrastructure Corridors	N/A
Chapter 5 – Three Ports – Port Botany, Port Kembla and Port of Newcastle	N/A
Chapter 6 – Moorebank Freight Intermodal Precinct	N/A
mary Production (2021)	
Chapter 2 - Primary Production and Rural Development	The provisions of Schedule 4 that apply to Planning Proposals involving subdivision and any subsequent dwelling that will be facilitated by the Planning Proposal do not apply to <i>Kempsey Local Environmental Plan</i> 2013.
Chapter 3 – Central Coast Plateau Areas	N/A

<u>4.2.5 - Question 7</u> - Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions (s.9.1 directions)?

The Planning Proposal has been assessed against the requirements of applicable Section 9.1(2) directions (issued on 21 October 2022) with the following matters being of relevance.

	Section 9.1(2) Ministerial Directions				
Directions		Comments			
	Focus Area 1: Planning Systems				
1.1	Implementation of Regional Plans				
	Objective				
	The objective of this direction is to give legal effect to the vision, land use strategy, goals,				
	directions and actions contained in Regional Plans.				
	Application				
	This direction applies to a relevant planning authority when preparing a planning proposal				
	for land to which a Regional Plan has been released by the Minister for Planning.				
	(1) Planning proposals must be consistent	This Planning Proposal is consistent with the			
	with a Regional Plan released by the Minister	relevant provisions of North Coast Regional			
	for Planning.	Plan 2036 and Draft North Coast Regional			
		Plan 2041 (See 'Section 4.2.1 – Question 3')			



	Consistency			
	A planning proposal may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if the relevant			
	planning authority can satisfy the Planning Secretary (or an officer of the Department			
	nominated by the Secretary), that:			
	(a) the extent of inconsistency with the	Although the Planning Proposal is consistent		
	Regional Plan is of minor significance,	with this Direction, the proposed 2 lot		
	and	subdivision is also of minor significance.		
	(b) the planning proposal achieves the	This Planning Proposal is consistent with the		
	overall intent of the Regional Plan and	relevant vision, land use strategies, goals,		
	does not undermine the achievement	directions and actions of North Coast		
	of the Regional Plan's vision, land use	Regional Plan 2036 and Draft North Coast		
	strategy, goals, directions or actions.	Regional Plan 2041. (See 'Section 4.2.1 –		
		Question 3')		
1.2	Development of Aboriginal Land Council Lan			
1.2	Approval and Referral Requirements			
1.5	Objective			
	-	at LEP provisions encourage the efficient and		
	The objective of this direction is to ensure that LEP provisions encourage the efficient and appropriate assessment of development.			
	Application			
	This direction applies to all relevant planning	authorities when preparing a planning		
	proposal.			
	(1) A planning proposal to which this direction	on applies must:		
	(a) minimise the inclusion of provisions	No concurrence provisions are required for		
	that require the concurrence,	this Planning Proposal.		
	consultation or referral of development			
	applications to a Minister or public			
	authority, and			
	(b) not contain provisions requiring	The proposed subdivision is required to be		
	concurrence, consultation or referral of			
	a Minister or public authority unless the	5		
	relevant planning authority has	Safety Authority by the NSW Rural Fire		
	obtained the approval of:	Service, such that no specific provision is		
		required.		
	(i) the appropriate Minister or	N/A		
	public authority, and			
	(ii) the Planning Secretary (or an	N/A		
	officer of the Department			
	nominated by the Secretary),			
	prior to undertaking community			
	consultation in satisfaction of			
	Schedule 1 to the EP&A Act, and			
	(c) not identify development as	This Planning Proposal does not identify the 2		
	designated development unless the	lot subdivision as designated development		
	relevant planning authority:	and there is no thresholds under Schedule 3		
		of the Environmental Planning and		
		Assessment Regulation 2000 that would		
		trigger designated development.		
	(i) can satisfy the Planning	N/A		
	Secretary (or an officer of the			


	Secretary) that the class of	
	development is likely to have a	
	significant impact on the	
	environment, and	
	(ii) has obtained the approval of	N/A
	the Planning Secretary (or an	
	officer of the Department	
	nominated by the Secretary) prior	
	to undertaking community	
	consultation in satisfaction of	
	Schedule 1 to the EP&A Act.	
	Consistency	This Planning Proposal is consistent with this
	A planning proposal must be	Direction.
	substantially consistent with the terms	
	of this direction.	
1.4	Site Specific Provisions	·
	Objective	
		e unnecessarily restrictive site-specific planning
	controls.	
	Application	
		authorities when preparing a planning proposal
	that will allow a particular development to be	
	(1) A planning proposal that will amend anot	
	order to allow a particular development	
	(a) allow that land use to be carried out	
	in the zone the land is situated on, or	or permissible uses of <i>Kempsey Local</i>
		<i>Environmental Plan 2013</i> are required to
		facilitate this Planning Proposal.
	(b) rezone the site to an existing zone	No amendment of the existing RU4 zone is
	already in the environmental planning	required to facilitate this Planning Proposal.
	instrument that allows that land use	
	without imposing any development	
	standards or requirements in addition to those already contained in that zone,	
	, , .	
	Or	This Diamaing Dranges I socks to amound the
	(c) allow that land use on the relevant	This Planning Proposal seeks to amend the
	land without imposing any	Minimum Lot Size Map under Kempsey Local
	development standards or	Environmental Plan 2013 without the need to
	requirements in addition to those	include any site-specific development
	already contained in the principal	standard.
	environmental planning instrument	
	being amended.	
	(2) A planning proposal must not contain or	The Subdivision Concept Plan provided in
	refer to drawings that show details of	support of this Planning Proposal does not
	the development proposal.	form part of the requested amendment to
		Kempsey Local Environmental Plan 2013 and
		is intended to be indicative of how relevant



	Consistency	Although the Planning Proposal is consistent
	A planning proposal may be inconsistent with	with this Direction, the proposed 2 lot
	the terms of this direction only if the relevant	subdivision is also of minor significance.
	planning authority can satisfy the Planning	
	Secretary (or an officer of the Department	
	nominated by the Secretary) that the	
	provisions of the planning proposal that are	
	inconsistent are of minor significance.	
	Focus Area 1: Planning Sy	stems – Place-based
1.5	Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transforma	
1.6	Implementation of North West Priority Grow	th Area Land Use and Infrastructure
	Implementation Strategy – N/A	
1.7	Implementation of Greater Parramatta Priori	ty Growth Area Interim Land Use and
	Infrastructure Implementation Plan – N/A	•
1.8	Implementation of Wilton Priority Growth Ar	ea Interim Land Use and Infrastructure
	Implementation Plan – N/A	
1.9	Implementation of Glenfield to Macarthur U	ban Renewal Corridor – N/A
1.10	Implementation of Western Sydney Aerotrop	
1.11	Implementation of Bayside West Precincts 20)36 Plan – N/A
1.12	Implementation of Planning Principles for Co	oks Cove Precinct – N/A
1.13	Implementation of St Leonards and Crows Ne	
1.14	Implementation of Greater Macarthur 2040 F	Plan – N/A
1.15	Implementation of the Pyrmont Peninsula Pla	ace Strategy – N/A
1.16	North West Link Corridor Strategy – N/A	
1.17	Implementation of the Bays West Place Strat	egy – N/A
1.18	Implementation of Macquarie Park Innovation	
1.19	Implementation of Westmead Place Strategy	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1.20	Implementation of the Camellia-Rosehill Plac	
1.21	Implementation of the South West Growth a	rea Structure Plan
	Focus Area 2: Design a	nd Place (Blank)
	Focus Area 3: Biodiversit	y and Conservation
3.1	Conservation Zones	
	Objective	
	The objective of this direction is to protect and	d conserve environmentally sensitive areas.
	Application	
	This direction applies when a relevant plannin	g authority prepares a planning proposal.
	(1) A planning proposal must include	An assessment of the ecological impacts of
	provisions that facilitate the protection	the proposed 2 lot subdivision concludes
	and conservation of environmentally	that, with the exception of a single tree, no
	sensitive areas.	clearing is required to facilitate future
		dwellings, such that no impacts on any
		environmentally sensitive areas will result.
		environmentally sensitive areas will result. Having regard to the size and isolation of
		Having regard to the size and isolation of
		Having regard to the size and isolation of the vegetation to be retained, zoning to an



with othe cons LEP stan (incl stan requ char min	anning proposal that applies to land nin a conservation zone or land erwise identified for environment servation/protection purposes in a must not reduce the conservation idards that apply to the land luding by modifying development idards that apply to the land). This uirement does not apply to a nge to a development standard for imum lot size for a dwelling in ordance with Direction 9.2(2) "Rural ds".	C2 zoned 'island' that is incompatible with Council's Rural Residential Strategy. The identification of building envelopes that can be reinforced by an appropriate 88E Instrument through the DA process is the best means of protecting existing approximately 1.4 ha of Scribbly Gum forest that is intended to be retained. (See 'Section 4.3.1 – Question 8') The land is not zoned for environmental protection purposes and this requirement does not apply as the Planning Proposal seeks to reduce the minimum lot size and is consistent with Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land Release Strategy and Direction 9.2 'Rural Lands'.
Land Consiste		
planning	authority can satisfy the Planning Sec ed by the Secretary) that the provisio	the terms of this direction only if the relevant cretary (or an officer of the Department ns of the planning proposal that are
(a)	(a) justified by a strategy approved by the Planning Secretary which:	
	(i) gives consideration to the objectives of this direction,	This Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction and is also consistent with the <i>Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land</i> <i>Release Strategy</i> that was duly approved by the Secretary.
	 (ii) identifies the land which is the subject of the planning proposal (if the planning proposal relates to a particular site or sites), and 	The subject land is specifically identified in the <i>Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land</i> <i>Release Strategy</i> as being suitable for rationalising subdivision within the RU4 zoned land to permit subdivision into 4ha allotments.
sup	justified by a study prepared in oport of the planning proposal which es consideration to the objectives of s direction, or	Notwithstanding compliance with this Direction, this Planning Proposal is supported by specialist bushfire, effluent disposal, Aboriginal archaeology and ecological reports that justify the proposed subdivision based on merit. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9')
Reg	in accordance with the relevant gional Strategy, Regional Plan or strict Plan prepared by the partment of Planning and	This Planning Proposal is consistent with the relevant provisions of the North Coast Regional Strategy 2036 and Draft North



3.2	Environment which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or (d) is of minor significance Heritage Conservation Objective The objective of this direction is to conserve it environmental heritage significance and indig Application		
	 This direction applies to all relevant planning a proposal. (1) A planning proposal must contain provision (a) items, places, buildings, works, relics, moveable objects or precincts of environmental heritage significance to an area, in relation to the historical, scientific, cultural, social, archaeological, architectural, natural or aesthetic value of the item, area, object or place, identified in a study of the environmental heritage of the area, 	ons that facilitate the conservation of: There are no identified items of environmental heritage located on or in the vicinity of the subject land.	
	(b) Aboriginal objects or Aboriginal places that are protected under the <i>National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974,</i> and	There are no AHIMS listed or identified items that are required to be protected, as confirmed by an assessment and site survey undertaken by the Kempsey Local Aboriginal Land Council. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 – Aboriginal Archaeology')	
	(c) Aboriginal areas, Aboriginal objects, Aboriginal places or landscapes identified by an Aboriginal heritage survey prepared by or on behalf of an Aboriginal Land Council, Aboriginal body or public authority and provided to the relevant planning authority, which identifies the area, object, place or landscape as being of heritage significance to Aboriginal culture and people.	The site survey undertaken by the Kempsey Local Aboriginal Land Council confirms that there are no listed or identified items that are required to be protected. <i>(See 'Section</i> <i>4.3.2 – Question 9 – Aboriginal Archaeology')</i>	
	Consistency A planning proposal may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if the relevant planning authority can satisfy the Planning Secretary (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Secretary) that:		
	 (a) the environmental or indigenous heritage significance of the item, area, object or place is conserved by existing or draft environmental 	This Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction.	



	planning instruments, legislation, or		
	regulations that apply to the land, or		
	(b) the provisions of the planning	Although consistent with this direction, this	
	proposal that are inconsistent are of	Planning Proposal is also of minor	
	minor significance.	significance.	
3.3	Sydney Drinking Water Catchments – N/A		
3.4		nental Overlays in Far North Coast LEPs – N/A	
3.5	Recreation Vehicle Areas - N/A		
3.6	Strategic Conservation Planning – N/A		
3.7	Public Bushland		
3.8	Willandra Lakes Region		
3.9	Sydney Harbour Foreshores and Waterways	Area	
3.10	Water Catchment Protection		
	Focus Area 4: Resilie	nce and Hazards	
4.1	Flooding – N/A		
4.2	Coastal Management – N/A		
4.3	Planning for Bushfire Protection		
	Objectives		
	The objectives of this direction are:		
	(a) to protect life, property and the environm		
	the establishment of incompatible land uses i	•	
	(b) to encourage sound management of bush	fire prone areas.	
	Application		
		ng authority prepares a planning proposal that	
	will affect, or is in proximity to land mapped a	as bushfire prone land.	
	This applies when the relevant planning author		
		or, until such a map has been certified by the	
	Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service,		
		I the relevant planning authority must consult	
		Fire Service following receipt of a gateway	
	determination under section 3.34 of the Act, and prior to undertaking community		
	consultation in satisfaction of clause 4, Schedule 1of the EP&A Act, and take into		
	account any comments so made.		
	(2) A planning proposal must:		
	(a) have regard to <i>Planning for</i>	A Bushfire Assessment Report has been	
	Bushfire Protection 2019,	prepared in support of this Planning Proposal	
		that addresses the requirements of <i>Bushfire</i>	
		Protection 2019. (See – 'Section 4.3.2 -	
	(b) introduces controls that avoid	Question 9 – Bushfire Hazard')	
	(b) introduce controls that avoid	The Bushfire Assessment Report indicates that the identified building envelopes for	
	placing inappropriate developments in hazardous areas, and	future dwellings, will comply with <i>Planning</i>	
	liazaruous areas, anu		
		for Bushfire Protection 2019. (See – 'Section	
		4.3.2 Question 9 – Bushfire Hazard')	
	(c) ensure that bushfire hazard	It is not intended to alter the existing RU4	
	reduction is not prohibited within the	zone which permits bushfire hazard	
	Asset Protection Zone (APZ).	reduction under clause 5.11 of Kempsey	
		Local Environmental Plan 2013.	



provisions, as appropriate: (a) provide an Asset Protection Zone	
(APZ) incorporating at a minimum:	
 (i) an Inner Protection Area bounded by a perimeter road or reserve which circumscribes the hazard side of the land intended for development and has a building line consistent with the incorporation of an APZ, within the property, and 	The Bushfire Assessment Report includes inner APZs with ample setback to Berangh Road that can be incorporated within the identified building envelopes located whol within the property. (See – 'Section 4.3.2 Question 9 – Bushfire Hazard')
(ii) an Outer Protection Area managed for hazard reduction and located on the bushland side of the perimeter road,	The Bushfire Assessment Report indicates that the required Outer Protection Areas can be achieved for the identified building envelopes without the need for any clearing, except for the maintenance of an existing grass covered area. (See – 'Section 4.3.2 Question 9 – Bushfire Hazard')
 (b) for infill development (that is development within an already subdivided area), where an appropriate APZ cannot be achieved, provide for an appropriate performance standard, in consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service. If the provisions of the planning proposal permit Special Fire Protection Purposes (as defined under section 100B of the <i>Rural Fires Act 1997</i>), the APZ provisions must be complied with, 	N/A
(c) contain provisions for two-way access roads which links to perimeter roads and/or to fire trail networks,	The Bushfire Assessment Report indicates that this requirement is not applicable in this instance and includes the specification for any internal driveways, noting that larger than required APZs are proposed wi internal driveways to be constructed to the required standard, such that NSW Rural Fin Service requirements that can readily be achieved and dealt with at DA stage for the subdivision and future dwelling. (See - 'Section 4.3.2 Question 9 – Bushfire Hazard
(d) contain provisions for adequate water supply for firefighting purposes,	The Bushfire Assessment Report includes the specifications for water supply requirements that comply with NSW Rural Fire Service requirements that can be deal with at DA stage for any future dwelling. (See – 'Section 4.3.2 Question 9 – Bushfire



(e) minimise the perimeter of the area of land interfacing the hazard which may be developed,	The bushfire hazard perimeter is confined to the existing allotment for which building envelopes have been identified that comply with NSW Rural Fire Service requirements. (See – 'Section 4.3.2 Question 9 – Bushfire Hazard')
(f) introduce controls on the placement of combustible materials in the Inner Protection Area.	The Bushfire Assessment Report includes the specifications for APZ establishment and maintenance that comply with NSW Rural Fire Service requirements that can be dealt with at DA stage for any future dwelling. (See – 'Section 4.3.2 Question98 – Bushfire Hazard')
Consistency A planning proposal may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if the relevant planning authority can satisfy the Planning Secretary (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Secretary) that the council has obtained written advice from the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service, to the effect that, notwithstanding the non- compliance, the NSW Rural Fire Service does not object to the progression of the planning proposal.	This Planning Proposal is consistent with relevant provisions of this direction and the advice of the Commissioner is therefore not required. A Bushfire Safety Authority is also required to be obtained at DA stage.
Remediation of Contaminated Land	
Objective The objective of this direction is to reduce the environment by ensuring that contamination a proposal authorities. Application This direction applies when a planning propos that applies to:	and remediation are considered by planning al authority prepares a planning proposal
(a) land that is within an investigation area within the meaning of the <i>Contaminated Land Management Act</i> 1997,	The land does not lie within any identified investigation area.
(b) land on which development for a purpose referred to in Table 1 to the contaminated land planning guidelines is being, or is known to have been, carried out,	The owner has held the subject land since 1995 and advises that the land has not been used for any purpose listed in Table 1 of <i>Managing Land Contamination – Planning</i> <i>Guidelines</i> .
(c) the extent to which it is proposed to carry out development on it for residential, educational, recreational or childcare purposes, or for the purposes of a hospital – land:	The proposed subdivision will facilitate the erection of two dwellings for which building envelopes have been identified. (See 'Section 1.1 – Subdivision Concept Plan')
(i) in relation to which there is no	See above.



		1
	development for a purpose	
	referred to in Table 1 to the	
	contaminated land planning	
	guidelines has been carried out,	
	and	
	(ii) on which it would have been lawful to carry out such	The subject land has been selectively cleared and is suitable for low intensity grazing only,
	development during any period in respect of which there is no	however, the land has not been used for such purposes since at least 1995. There is no
	knowledge (or incomplete knowledge).	obvious signs of any infrastructure or remnants of any development that indicates
		the land as having been used for any
		purposes listed in Table 1. The lack of any intensive agriculture in the locality and
		location of the subject land make it unlikely
		that the land has ever been used for the uses
		listed in Table 1 and certainly not since 1995. Dwellings became a permissible use (both
		with and without consent) since August 1969
		under Interim Development Order No.1 –
		Shire of Macleay. The subject land was
		previously zoned 1(g) Rural Enterprises under
		Kempsey Local Environmental Plan 1987
		which permitted subdivision into allotments
		of 8ha on which dwellings were permissible, during which time the land was held by the
		current owner.
(1) A r	blanning proposal authority must not ir	
		any land to which this direction applies if the
		ermit a change of use of the land, unless:
) the planning proposal authority	No further investigations are required as the
	as considered whether the land is	land has not been used for any uses listed in
	ontaminated, and	Table 1.
) if the land is contaminated, the	N/A
-	lanning proposal authority is satisfied	,
	hat the land is suitable in its	
СС	ontaminated state (or will be	
รเ	uitable, after remediation) for all the	
р	urposes for which land in the zone	
	oncerned is permitted to be used,	
a	nd	

	(c) if the land requires remediation to	N/A		
	be made suitable for any purpose for			
	which land in that zone is permitted to			
	be used, the planning proposal			
	authority is satisfied that the land will			
	be so remediated before the land is			
	used for that purpose.			
	In order to satisfy itself as to			
	paragraph (1)(c), the planning			
	proposal authority may need to			
	include certain provisions in the local			
	environmental plan.			
	(2) Before including any land specified in a	N/A		
		N/A		
	particular zone, the planning proposal			
	authority is to obtain and have regard			
	to a report specifying the findings of a			
	preliminary investigation of the land			
	carried out in accordance with the			
	contaminated land planning guidelines.			
4.5	Acid Sulfate Soils			
	Objective			
		ficant adverse environmental impacts from the		
	use of land that has a probability of containing	g acid sulfate soils.		
	Application			
		authorities that are responsible for land having		
	a probability of containing acid sulfate soils w			
	apply to land identified on the Acid Sulfate So	ils Planning Maps held by the Department of		
	Planning and Environment.			
		sider the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Guidelines		
		adopted by the Planning Secretary when preparing a planning proposal that applies to		
	any land identified on the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Maps as having a probability of			
	any land identified on the Acid Sulfate So			
	acid sulfate soils being present.	ils Planning Maps as having a probability of		
		ils Planning Maps as having a probability of		
	acid sulfate soils being present. (2) When a relevant planning authority is pre	ils Planning Maps as having a probability of		
	acid sulfate soils being present. (2) When a relevant planning authority is pre	ils Planning Maps as having a probability of eparing a planning proposal to introduce		
	 acid sulfate soils being present. (2) When a relevant planning authority is preprovisions to regulate works in acid sulfations. 	ils Planning Maps as having a probability of eparing a planning proposal to introduce		
	 acid sulfate soils being present. (2) When a relevant planning authority is preprovisions to regulate works in acid sulfativity. 	ils Planning Maps as having a probability of eparing a planning proposal to introduce te soils, those provisions must be consistent		
	acid sulfate soils being present. (2) When a relevant planning authority is pre provisions to regulate works in acid sulfa- with: (a) the Acid Sulfate Soils Model LEP in	ils Planning Maps as having a probability of eparing a planning proposal to introduce te soils, those provisions must be consistent The subject land is mapped as potentially		
	 acid sulfate soils being present. (2) When a relevant planning authority is preprovisions to regulate works in acid sulfatewith: (a) the Acid Sulfate Soils Model LEP in the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning 	ils Planning Maps as having a probability of eparing a planning proposal to introduce te soils, those provisions must be consistent The subject land is mapped as potentially containing Class 5 acid sulfate soils. The		
	 acid sulfate soils being present. (2) When a relevant planning authority is preprovisions to regulate works in acid sulfativity. (a) the Acid Sulfate Soils Model LEP in the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Guidelines adopted by the Planning 	ils Planning Maps as having a probability of eparing a planning proposal to introduce te soils, those provisions must be consistent The subject land is mapped as potentially containing Class 5 acid sulfate soils. The building envelopes identified to address bushfire and ecological constraints is well		
	 acid sulfate soils being present. (2) When a relevant planning authority is preprovisions to regulate works in acid sulfativity. (a) the Acid Sulfate Soils Model LEP in the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Guidelines adopted by the Planning 	ils Planning Maps as having a probability of eparing a planning proposal to introduce te soils, those provisions must be consistent The subject land is mapped as potentially containing Class 5 acid sulfate soils. The building envelopes identified to address bushfire and ecological constraints is well clear of the areas that potentially contain		
	 acid sulfate soils being present. (2) When a relevant planning authority is preprovisions to regulate works in acid sulfativity. (a) the Acid Sulfate Soils Model LEP in the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Guidelines adopted by the Planning 	ils Planning Maps as having a probability of eparing a planning proposal to introduce te soils, those provisions must be consistent The subject land is mapped as potentially containing Class 5 acid sulfate soils. The building envelopes identified to address bushfire and ecological constraints is well clear of the areas that potentially contain acid sulfate soils and no lowering of the		
	 acid sulfate soils being present. (2) When a relevant planning authority is preprovisions to regulate works in acid sulfativity. (a) the Acid Sulfate Soils Model LEP in the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Guidelines adopted by the Planning 	ils Planning Maps as having a probability of eparing a planning proposal to introduce te soils, those provisions must be consistent The subject land is mapped as potentially containing Class 5 acid sulfate soils. The building envelopes identified to address bushfire and ecological constraints is well clear of the areas that potentially contain acid sulfate soils and no lowering of the water table of affected soils will occur. (See'		
	 acid sulfate soils being present. (2) When a relevant planning authority is preprovisions to regulate works in acid sulfativity. (a) the Acid Sulfate Soils Model LEP in the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Guidelines adopted by the Planning 	ils Planning Maps as having a probability of eparing a planning proposal to introduce te soils, those provisions must be consistent The subject land is mapped as potentially containing Class 5 acid sulfate soils. The building envelopes identified to address bushfire and ecological constraints is well clear of the areas that potentially contain acid sulfate soils and no lowering of the water table of affected soils will occur. (See' Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 - Acid Sulfate		
	 acid sulfate soils being present. (2) When a relevant planning authority is preprovisions to regulate works in acid sulfate with: (a) the Acid Sulfate Soils Model LEP in the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Guidelines adopted by the Planning Secretary, or 	ils Planning Maps as having a probability of eparing a planning proposal to introduce te soils, those provisions must be consistent The subject land is mapped as potentially containing Class 5 acid sulfate soils. The building envelopes identified to address bushfire and ecological constraints is well clear of the areas that potentially contain acid sulfate soils and no lowering of the water table of affected soils will occur. (See' Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 - Acid Sulfate Soils')		
	 acid sulfate soils being present. (2) When a relevant planning authority is preprovisions to regulate works in acid sulfate with: (a) the Acid Sulfate Soils Model LEP in the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Guidelines adopted by the Planning Secretary, or (b) other such provisions provided by 	ils Planning Maps as having a probability of eparing a planning proposal to introduce te soils, those provisions must be consistent The subject land is mapped as potentially containing Class 5 acid sulfate soils. The building envelopes identified to address bushfire and ecological constraints is well clear of the areas that potentially contain acid sulfate soils and no lowering of the water table of affected soils will occur. (See' Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 - Acid Sulfate Soils') Avoidance of acid sulfate soils, where		
	 acid sulfate soils being present. (2) When a relevant planning authority is preprovisions to regulate works in acid sulfate with: (a) the Acid Sulfate Soils Model LEP in the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Guidelines adopted by the Planning Secretary, or (b) other such provisions provided by the Planning Secretary that are 	ils Planning Maps as having a probability of eparing a planning proposal to introduce te soils, those provisions must be consistent The subject land is mapped as potentially containing Class 5 acid sulfate soils. The building envelopes identified to address bushfire and ecological constraints is well clear of the areas that potentially contain acid sulfate soils and no lowering of the water table of affected soils will occur. (<i>See'</i> <i>Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 - Acid Sulfate</i> <i>Soils'</i>) Avoidance of acid sulfate soils, where possible, is the most effective management		
	 acid sulfate soils being present. (2) When a relevant planning authority is preprovisions to regulate works in acid sulfate with: (a) the Acid Sulfate Soils Model LEP in the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Guidelines adopted by the Planning Secretary, or (b) other such provisions provided by the Planning Secretary that are consistent with the Acid Sulfate Soils 	 ils Planning Maps as having a probability of eparing a planning proposal to introduce te soils, those provisions must be consistent The subject land is mapped as potentially containing Class 5 acid sulfate soils. The building envelopes identified to address bushfire and ecological constraints is well clear of the areas that potentially contain acid sulfate soils and no lowering of the water table of affected soils will occur. (See' Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 - Acid Sulfate Soils') Avoidance of acid sulfate soils, where possible, is the most effective management strategy identified in the guidelines that can 		
	 acid sulfate soils being present. (2) When a relevant planning authority is preprovisions to regulate works in acid sulfate with: (a) the Acid Sulfate Soils Model LEP in the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Guidelines adopted by the Planning Secretary, or (b) other such provisions provided by the Planning Secretary that are 	ils Planning Maps as having a probability of eparing a planning proposal to introduce te soils, those provisions must be consistent The subject land is mapped as potentially containing Class 5 acid sulfate soils. The building envelopes identified to address bushfire and ecological constraints is well clear of the areas that potentially contain acid sulfate soils and no lowering of the water table of affected soils will occur. (<i>See'</i> <i>Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 - Acid Sulfate</i> <i>Soils'</i>) Avoidance of acid sulfate soils, where possible, is the most effective management		



	(3) A relevant planning authority must not prepare a planning proposal that proposes an intensification of land uses on land identified as having a probability of containing acid sulfate soils on the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Maps unless the relevant planning authority has considered an acid sulfate soils study assessing the appropriateness of the change of land use given the presence of acid sulfate	In the context of the subject land and the proposed 2 lot subdivision, the issue of potential acid sulfate soils is best dealt with at DA stage. In this instance, an acid sulfate soils study is unnecessary as areas containing Class 1-4 acid sulfate soils are located approximately 1,500 metres from the proposed building envelope. (See – 'Section 4.3.2 - Question 9 – Acid
	use given the presence of acid sulfate soils. The relevant planning authority must provide a copy of any such study to the Planning Secretary prior to undertaking community consultation in satisfaction of clause 4 of Schedule 1 of the Act.	Sulfate Soils')
	 (4) Where provisions referred to in 2(a) and 2(b) above of this direction have not been introduced and the relevant planning authority is preparing a planning proposal that proposes an intensification of land uses on land identified as having a probability of acid sulfate soils on the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Maps, the planning proposal must contain provisions consistent with 2(a) and 2(b). 	N/A
	Consistency	
	(a) justified by a study prepared in support of the planning proposal which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or	This Planning Proposal is consistent with this direction.
	(b) of minor significance.	Although the Planning Proposal is consistent with this Direction, the proposed 2 lot subdivision is of minor significance in respect to the area of land potentially affected by ASS and the minor increase in development potential that is located well away from any Class 1-4 ASS.
4.6	Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land – N/A	
	Focus Area 5: Transport	and Infrastructure
5.1	Integrated Land Use and Transport – N/A	
5.2	Reserving Land for Public Purposes – N/A	
5.3	Development Near Regulated Airports and D	efence Airfields – N/A
5.4	Shooting Ranges – N/A	



	Focus Area 6:	Housing		
6.1	Residential Zones – N/A			
6.2	Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estat	tes – N/A		
	Focus Area 7: Industry and Employment			
7.1	Business and Industrial Zones – N/A			
7.2	Reduction in Non-hosted Short-term Rental Accommodation Period			
7.3	Commercial and Retail Development Along the			
0.4	Focus Area 8: Resource			
8.1	Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive	Industries		
	Objective	t the future extraction of State or regionally		
	The objective of this direction is to ensure tha significant reserves of coal, other minerals, pe			
	compromised by inappropriate development.			
	Application			
	This direction applies when a relevant plannin	g authority prepares a planning proposal that		
	would have the effect of:			
	(a) prohibiting the mining of coal or	This Planning Proposal does not involve any		
	other minerals, production of	change to the RU4 zone or permissible uses.		
	petroleum, or winning or obtaining of			
	extractive materials, or			
	(b) restricting the potential	The nearest existing gravel quarry is located		
	development of resources of coal,	approximately 3km east of the subject land with access off Crescent Head Road.		
	other minerals, petroleum or extractive materials which are of State or regional	Although not of Regional or State		
	significance by permitting a land use	significance, no reduction in the potential		
	that is likely to be incompatible with	development of the quarry will result from		
	such development.	any subsequent dwelling erected on the		
	·	proposed allotment.		
	(1) In the preparation of a planning proposal	affected by this direction, the relevant		
	planning authority must:			
	(a) consult the Secretary of the			
	Department of Primary Industries (DPI)			
	to identify any:			
	i. resources of coal, other minerals,	There are no identified resources in proximity		
	petroleum or extractive material that are of either State or regional	to the subject land.		
	significance, and			
	ii. existing mines, petroleum	There are no such operations in immediate		
	production operations or extractive	proximity to the subject land.		
	industries occurring in the area	p		
	subject to the planning proposal,			
	and			
	(b) seek advice from the Secretary of	Noted.		
	DPI on the development potential of			
	resources identified under (1)(a)(i), and			
	(c) identify and take into consideration			
	issues likely to lead to land use conflict			
	between other land uses and:			
	i. development of resources	There are no identified resources in proximity		
	identified under (1)(a)(i), or	to the subject land.		



ii. existing development identified There are no such operations in immunder (1)(a)(ii). proximity to the subject land.	nediate		
(2) Where a planning proposal prohibits or restricts development of resources ider	(2) Where a planning proposal prohibits or restricts development of resources identified		
under (1)(a)(i), or proposes land uses that may create land use conflicts identified u	ınder		
(1)(c), the relevant planning authority must:			
(a) provide the Secretary of DPI with a The planning proposal does not see	k to		
copy of the planning proposal and prohibit or restrict development of	resources		
notification of the relevant provisions, and there are no identified resource	es or		
existing operations in proximity to t	he		
subject land.			
(b) allow the Secretary of DPI a period Not required.			
of 40 days from the date of notification			
to provide in writing any objections to			
the terms of the planning proposal, and			
(c) include a copy of any objection and Not required.			
supporting information received from			
the Secretary of DPI with the statement			
to the Planning Secretary (or an officer			
of the Department nominated by the			
Secretary before undertaking			
community consultation in satisfaction			
of Schedule 1 to the Act.			
Consistency Although this Planning Proposal is c			
A planning proposal may be inconsistent with with this direction, the proposed 2			
the terms of this direction only if the relevant subdivision is also of minor signification of the subdivision of the subdivision is also of minor signification of the subdivision of the s	nce.		
planning authority can satisfy the Planning			
Secretary (or an officer of the Department			
nominated by the Secretary), that the			
provisions of the planning proposal that are			
inconsistent are of minor significance.			
Focus Area 9: Primary Production 9.1 Rural Zones			
Objective			
The objective of this direction is to protect the agricultural production value of rura	lland		
Application			
This direction applies when a relevant planning authority prepares a planning prop	osal that		
will affect land within an existing or proposed rural zone (including the alteration of			
existing rural zone boundary).	i uny		
(1) A planning proposal must:			
(a) not rezone land from a rural zone to No change to the RU4 zone or perm	issible		
a residential, business, industrial, uses is proposed.			
village or tourist zone.			
(b) not contain provisions that will The Planning Proposal will reduce the	ne		
increase the permissible density of land minimum lot size from 8ha to 4ha.			
within a rural zone (other than land			
within an existing town or village).			
Consistency			
A planning proposal may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction only if the	e relevant		
planning authority can satisfy the Planning Secretary (or an officer of the Departme	ent		



	nominated by the Secretary) that the provision	ons of the planning proposal that are	
	inconsistent are:		
	(a) justified by a strategy approved by		
	the Planning Secretary which:	The Disputing Dreposed is consistent with the	
	(i) gives consideration to the objectives of this direction,	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the <i>Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land Release</i> <i>Strategy</i> that was endorsed by the Planning Secretary. The subject land is consistent with the objective of this Direction as it does not contain any Important Agricultural Land.	
	(ii) identifies the land which is the subject of the planning proposal (if the planning proposal relates to a particular site or sites), and	This Planning Proposal identifies a particular parcel of land.	
	(b) justified by a study prepared in support of the planning proposal which gives consideration to the objectives of this direction, or		
	(c) in accordance with the relevant Regional Strategy, Regional Plan or District Plan prepared by the Department of Planning which gives consideration to the objective of this direction, or	N/A	
	(d) is of minor significance.	The intended outcome of this Planning Proposal is to facilitate a 2 lot subdivision which is of minor significance, both locally and across the Shire.	
9.2			
	Objectives		
	The objectives of this direction are to:		
	 (a) protect the agricultural production value of rural land, (b) facilitate the orderly and economic use and development of rural lands for rural and related purposes, (c) assist in the proper management, development and protection of rural lands to promote the social, economic and environmental welfare of the State, (d) minimise the potential for land fragmentation and land use conflict in rural areas, particularly between residential and other rural land uses, (e) encourage sustainable land use practices and ensure the ongoing viability of agriculture on rural land (f) support the delivery of the actions outlined in the New South Wales Right to Farm Policy. 		
	Application This direction applies when a relevant planning authority prepares a planning propos land outside the local government areas of lake Macquarie, Newcastle, Wollongong LGAs in the Greater Sydney Region (as defined in the Greater Sydney Commission Ac other than Wollondilly and Hawkesbury, that:		



(a) will affect land within an existing or proposed rural or environment protection zone(including the alteration of any existing rural or environment protection zone boundary) or(b) changes the existing minimum lot size on land within a rural or environment protection zone.

Note: Reference to a rural or environment protection zone means any of the following zones or their equivalent in a non-Standard LEP: RU1, RU2, RU3, RU4, RU6, C1, C2, C3, C4

A planning proposal must:	, KUZ, KUS, KU4, KU6, C1, C2, C5, C4	
(a) be consistent with any applicable This Planning Proposal is consistent with the		
strategic plan, including regional and	relevant requirements of North Coast	
district plans endorsed by the Planning	Regional Plan 2036, Draft North Coast	
Secretary, and any applicable local	Regional Plan 2041, Future Macleay Growth	
strategic planning statement	and Character Local Strategic Planning	
	Statement (July 2020) and Kempsey Shire	
	Rural Residential Land Release Strategy. (Se	
	'Section 4.2.1 – Question 3' and Section 4.2.	
	- Question 4')	
(b) consider the significance of	The land is not identified as containing any	
agriculture and primary production to	regionally significant farmland. The total are	
the State and rural communities	of the existing allotment is only 8ha, such	
the state and fural communities		
	that any impact on potential primary	
(a) identify and protect any incomparise	production will be negligible.	
(c) identify and protect environmental	No clearing of any vegetation is required to	
values, including but not limited to,	establish APZs for bushfire protection with a	
maintaining biodiversity, the protection	small area of forest to be retained. (See	
of native vegetation, cultural heritage,	'Section 4.3.1 – Question 8')	
and the importance of water resources		
(d) consider the natural and physical	The existing lot, which is approximately 8ha	
constraints of the land, including but	in area, is gently undulating and partly	
not limited to, topography, size,	cleared with unconstrained building	
location, water availability and ground	envelopes identified for any future	
and soil conditions	dwellings.	
	Specialist reports are provided in support o	
	the Planning Proposal relating to bushfire,	
	effluent disposal, Aboriginal archaeology ar	
	ecology that demonstrate the subject land	
	not subject to any physical constraints that	
	would prevent future development of the	
	proposed lot. (See 'Section 1.1 – Subdivision	
	Concept Plan')	
(e) promote opportunities for	The proposed 4ha allotments are large	
investment in productive, diversified,	enough to provide for innovative rural	
innovative and sustainable rural	activities that do not require highly	
economic activities	productive agricultural land.	
(f) support farmers in exercising their	There are no existing commercial agricultur	
right to farm	activities in immediate proximity to the	
	activities in immediate proximity to the subject land. The proposed lots provide	
c, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		
.,	subject land. The proposed lots provide ample separation of any future dwelling to	
, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	subject land. The proposed lots provide	



	[
	fragmentation of rural land and reduce the risk of land use conflict, particularly between residential land uses and other rural land	surrounded by rural residential allotments, Maria National Park, forestry and low intensity grazing activities and will not result in the fragmentation of any commercial rural holding.
	(h) consider State significant agricultural land identified in Chapter 2 of <i>State Environmental Planning Policy</i> (<i>Primary Production</i>) 2021 for the purpose of ensuring the ongoing viability of this land	The subject land is not mapped as containing any State significant agricultural land and is not within proximity to any such land.
	(i) consider the social, economic and environmental interests of the community.	The Planning Proposal will facilitate subdivision that will provide direct and indirect capital and recurrence expenditure that will support the local economy, schools and social groups without diminishing any ecological values of the subject land and locality.
(2)	A planning proposal that changes the exis	sting minimum lot size on land within a rural of
	conservation zone must demonstrate tha	-
	(a) is consistent with the priority of minimising rural land fragmentation and land use conflict, particularly between residential and other rural land uses	Council has adopted a strategic approach to allowing smaller lot subdivision of rural lands identified in the <i>Kempsey Shire Rural</i> <i>Residential Land Release Strategy</i> .
		The subject land lies within an area zoned RU4 that currently permits subdivision into allotments of not less than 8ha. This Planning Proposal seeks to implement Council's desire to rationalise the minimum allotment size applying to other RU4 zoned land across the Shire into allotments of not less than 4ha without resulting in any increased potential for land use conflicts between residential and rural land uses.
	(b) will not adversely affect the operation and viability of existing and future rural land uses and related enterprises, including supporting infrastructure and facilities that are essential to rural industries or supply chains.	There are no existing or likely future rural land uses that will be affected by the proposed subdivision. The proposed lots are large enough to facilitate the establishment of future rural industries that may support rural agricultural industries within the Shire without conflicting with rural residential development.
	(c) where it is for rural residential	р - · ·
	purposes:	
	 (i) is appropriately located taking account of the availability of human services, utility infrastructure, transport and proximity to existing centres 	The subject land lends itself to rural residential subdivision being located on Beranghi Road that is constructed and maintained by Council to bitumen sealed standard.



		The subject land is located in close proximity to Crescent Head and Kempsey that provide
		educational, commercial and medical services that will be accessed by future
	////	residents.
	(ii) is necessary taking account of	The subdivision is necessary to meet demand
	existing and future demand and supply of rural residential land.	due to the take up of Stage 1 land identified by the <i>Kempsey Shire Rural Residential Land</i>
	supply of fular residential land.	Release Strategy with the subject land
		identified for Stage 2 release (2019 to 2023).
		(See 'Section 4.2.2 Question 4')
Note: w	where a planning authority seeks to var	y an existing minimum lot size within a rural o
		so in accordance with the Rural Subdivision
	-	
Principles in clause 5.16 of the relevant Local Environmental Plan. Relevant principles include:		
1	a) the existing uses and approved uses	The proposed subdivision is consistent with
-	f land in the vicinity of the	the existing and planned pattern of
	evelopment,	development in the locality and will not
		conflict with any existing adjoining uses.
(۲) whether or not the development is	Rural residential subdivision into allotments
	kely to have a significant impact on	of 4ha, of the type facilitated by this Plannin
	ind uses that, in the opinion of the	Proposal, is the preferred and dominant land
	onsent authority, are likely to be	use in the vicinity of the subject land, as
	referred and the predominant land	expressed by the <i>Kempsey Shire Rural</i>
-	ses in the vicinity of the development,	Residential Land Release Strategy. (See
u.	ses in the vielinity of the development,	'Section 4.2.2 – Question 4')
10) whether or not the development is	Any future dwellings can be located on the
	kely to be incompatible with a use	proposed allotments without impacting on
	eferred to in paragraph (a) or (b),	any adjoining rural land uses, whilst
		complying with bushfire, effluent disposal
		and ecological requirements. (See 'Section
		1.1 – Subdivision Concept Plan')
(r	any measures proposed by the	The identified building envelopes, that can
	pplicant to avoid or minimise any	be incorporated in any future plan of
-	compatibility referred to in baragraphi	subdivision at DA stage, demonstrate that
in	compatibility referred to in paragraph :).	subdivision at DA stage, demonstrate that potential land use conflicts can be avoided b
-		potential land use conflicts can be avoided b
in		potential land use conflicts can be avoided be maximising the separation to existing and
in		potential land use conflicts can be avoided be maximising the separation to existing and likely future adjoining development. (See
in		potential land use conflicts can be avoided a maximising the separation to existing and likely future adjoining development. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 – 'Bushfire
in (c		potential land use conflicts can be avoided a maximising the separation to existing and likely future adjoining development. (See
in (c Consist	ency	potential land use conflicts can be avoided a maximising the separation to existing and likely future adjoining development. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 – 'Bushfire Hazard' and 'Effluent Disposal')
in (c Consist A plann	ency ing proposal may be inconsistent with	potential land use conflicts can be avoided to maximising the separation to existing and likely future adjoining development. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 – 'Bushfire Hazard' and 'Effluent Disposal') the terms of this direction only if the relevant
Consist A plann plannin	ency ing proposal may be inconsistent with g authority can satisfy the Planning Sec	potential land use conflicts can be avoided to maximising the separation to existing and likely future adjoining development. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 – 'Bushfire Hazard' and 'Effluent Disposal') the terms of this direction only if the relevant cretary (or an officer of the Department
Consist A plannin nomina	ency ing proposal may be inconsistent with g authority can satisfy the Planning Sec ited by the Secretary) that the provision	potential land use conflicts can be avoided to maximising the separation to existing and likely future adjoining development. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 – 'Bushfire Hazard' and 'Effluent Disposal') the terms of this direction only if the relevant cretary (or an officer of the Department
in (c Consist A plannin plannin nomina inconsis	ency ing proposal may be inconsistent with g authority can satisfy the Planning Sec ited by the Secretary) that the provision stent are:	potential land use conflicts can be avoided to maximising the separation to existing and likely future adjoining development. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 – 'Bushfire Hazard' and 'Effluent Disposal') the terms of this direction only if the relevant cretary (or an officer of the Department
Consist A plann plannin nomina inconsis	ency ing proposal may be inconsistent with g authority can satisfy the Planning Sec ited by the Secretary) that the provision stent are: a) justified by a strategy approved by	potential land use conflicts can be avoided to maximising the separation to existing and likely future adjoining development. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 – 'Bushfire Hazard' and 'Effluent Disposal') the terms of this direction only if the relevant cretary (or an officer of the Department
Consist A plannin plannina inconsis (a th	ency ing proposal may be inconsistent with g authority can satisfy the Planning Sec ited by the Secretary) that the provision stent are: a) justified by a strategy approved by the Planning Secretary and is in force	potential land use conflicts can be avoided to maximising the separation to existing and likely future adjoining development. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 – 'Bushfire Hazard' and 'Effluent Disposal') the terms of this direction only if the relevant cretary (or an officer of the Department
Consist A plannin plannina inconsis (a th	ency ing proposal may be inconsistent with g authority can satisfy the Planning Sec ited by the Secretary) that the provision stent are: a) justified by a strategy approved by	potential land use conflicts can be avoided to maximising the separation to existing and likely future adjoining development. (See 'Section 4.3.2 – Question 9 – 'Bushfire Hazard' and 'Effluent Disposal') the terms of this direction only if the relevant cretary (or an officer of the Department



9.4	Farmland of State or Regional Significance on the NSW Far North Coast – N/A	
9.3	Oyster Aquaculture – N/A	
		is consistent with this direction, the proposed 2 lot subdivision is also of minor significance.
	(b) is of minor significance.	Notwithstanding that the Planning Proposal
	the planning proposal relates to a particular site or sites), and	
	subject of the planning proposal (if	parcel of land.
	(ii) identifies the land which is the	This Planning Proposal identifies a particular
		Pricples.
		consistent with the Rural Subdivision
		Secretary. The subject land is consistent with the objective of this Direction as it is
		Strategy that was endorsed by the Planning

4.3 - Environmental, Social and Economic Impacts of this Planning Proposal

<u>4.3.1 - Question 8</u> - Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?

A report prepared by Wolf Peak (October 2022) that addresses all relevant requirements is attached to this Planning Proposal, that addresses the requirements of the:

- Biodiversity Conservation Act, 2016 Test of Significance
- Biodiversity Regulation 2017
- Environment Protection and Biodiversity Act, 1999
- *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979,* including relevant State Environmental Planning Policies
- Comprehensive Koala Plan of Management for the Eastern Portion of Kempsey Shire

The Concept Plan of Subdivision provided at *Figure 3* was determined, in part, by the need to consider the potential impacts of any future clearing, including clearing under the NSW RFS *Rural Boundary Clearing Code*. A primary objective of this Planning Proposal is to minimise potential impacts by avoidance, including the placement of the proposed subdivision boundary so as to avoid any potential for clearing incidental clearing adjacent to the boundary.

The report concludes that on the basis that the proposed building envelopes have previously been cleared and are vegetated with a mix of native and exotic grassland, with one(1) only Scribbly Gum impacted, minimal required clearing to provide for driveway crossings and an area proposed to be set aside via a protective covenant as koala habitat, the proposed subdivision:

- Will not result in any significant impacts on any threatened species of Endangered Ecological Communities or trigger the need for a Biodiversity Assessment Report or Species Impact Statement.
- Will not result in any significant impact on any threatened fauna species.
- Will not have any significant impact on any Matter of National Environmental Significance.
- Will not require referral to DEECCW or a BDAR.

It is intended to submit the report in support of a DA for subdivision following amendment to KLEP 2013, including implementation of all recommendations contained in the report.





Figure 11: View towards the east across proposed Lot 1A

Figure 12: View towards south-east across proposed Lot 1B



(See Attachment – 'Ecological Assessment for Proposed Subdivision – Lot 1 DP842144 Beranghi Road – WolfPeak (October 2022)')



<u>4.3.2 - Question 9</u> - Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?

Aboriginal Archaeology

An Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report was prepared by the Kempsey Local Aboriginal Land Council which indicates that there are no AHIMS listed sites or any unrecorded sites, as determined by a site survey located on the subject land.

The report supports the proposed subdivision, subject to:

- A KLALC Site Officer being notified of any proposed disturbance of the higher section of the ridge.
- All work is to cease if any Aboriginal artefact is detected following soil disturbance, including the specified process for notification which reflects the requirements of the National Parks and Wildlife Act, 1974

(See attachment – 'Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report - Kempsey Local Aboriginal Land Council (September 2022)' and 'Aboriginal Heritage AHIMS Report (September 2022)')

Bushfire Hazard

A Bushfire Assessment Report has been prepared by Midcoast Building and Environmental which includes an assessment of the requirements of the NSW Rural Fire Service *Planning for Bushfire Protection, 2019 (PBP 2019).*

The report demonstrates that all requirements can readily be achieved to support both identified building envelopes that is consistent with the specified acceptable bushfire protection measure solutions provided for in the guidelines. It is noted that the required APZs can be achieved without the need to undertake any clearing, subject to:

- Adoption of the requirements of Isolated Subdivision 5.1.1 of PBP 2019.
 - Larger APZs as specified
 - Building to BAL 29
 - Firefighting water supply and equipment as specified
- Road access to comply with Table 3b of PBP 2019:
 - Roads to cater for 23,000 tonne firefighting vehicles
 - 4m carriageway
 - 4m vertical clearance
 - Suitable turning areas as specified
 - Minimum 6m radius curves
 - Minimum distance between inner and outer curves of 6m
 - Not more than 10 degrees crossfall
 - Maximum grade of unsealed road of 10 degrees
- 30,000L water supply
 - \circ $\;$ All external above ground service pipes to be metal $\;$
 - Water for firefighting purposes to be as per Table 5.3d of PBP 2019 to be located within the IPA as specified
 - o Metal ball-valves and supply pipes as specified
 - Underground tanks to have 200mm opening and marked
 - Hardstand area within 4m to firefighting trucks



Brickwood Planning Services ABN 69215189724 Email: <u>rbrickwood.pitt@gmail.com</u> Phone: 0437 040 448

- o Above ground water storage tanks to be concrete or metal
- o Tank stands to be of non-combustible material
- Unobstructed access
- Water pumps as specified
- Fire hose reels as specified
- Electricity supply, either underground or overhead as specified
- Any gas supply to be as per AS1569:2014
- Landscaping as per Appendix 4 of PBP 2019
- Dwellings to be constructed to BAL 29
- Fencing to be hardwood or non-combustible material
- Development of an Emergency Evacuation Plan

(See attachment – 'Bushfire Assessment Report – Midcoast Building and Environmental (October 2022)'

Onsite Wastewater Management

A report has been prepared by Midcoast Building and Environmental which demonstrates that effluent generated by the existing and future dwelling on the proposed lot will comply with the requirements of AS/NZS 1547-2012 Onsite Domestic Wastewater Management and the NSW Environment and Health Protection Guidelines – Onsite Wastewater Management for Single Households, as incorporated in Chapter B8 of KDCP 2013, subject to:

- Primary Treatment by 3000L septic tank and evapotranspiration beds (ETA beds)
- ETA beds constructed as specified
- Excavate techniques as specified
- ETA beds buffers from boundaries, dams and drainage channels as specified
- Identification of a reserved area to duplicate the ETA beds.

(See attachment – 'Onsite Wastewater Management Assessment Report - Midcoast Building and Environmental (October 2022)')

Traffic

Council's requirements for assessing the potential traffic impacts of development are contained in *Chapter B2: Parking, Access and Traffic Management of Kempsey Development Control Plan 2013.*

It is proposed that all access to the identified building envelopes will be via driveway crossings to Beranghi Road. The proposed access points that were chosen, in part, to minimise the need for clearing, provide excellent sight distances in both a northerly and southerly direction of approximately 150m to 250m.





Figure 13: Northern frontage to Beranghi Road

Figure 14: Southern frontage to Beranghi Road



Acid Sulfate Soils

The subject land is mapped under KLEP 2013 as potentially containing Class 5 Acid Sulfate Soils (ASS).



Brickwood Planning Services ABN 69215189724 Email: <u>rbrickwood.pitt@gmail.com</u> Phone: 0437 040 448



Figure 15: Source: ePlanning Spatial Viewer (KLEP 2013 - Acid Sulfate Soils Map)

Clause 7.1 - Acid Sulfate Soils provides that in respect to Class 5 ASS, development consent is required for any works located within 500 metres of adjacent Class 1, 2, 3 or 4 land that is below 5 metres Australian Height Datum and by which the watertable is likely to be lowered below 1 metre Australian Height Datum on adjacent Class 1, 2, 3 or 4 land.

It is noted that:

- The site of the identified building envelop on the proposed lot is approximately 1,500 metres from the nearest Class 1, 2, 3 or 4 land
- The subject land is at an elevation of approximately 20mAHD
- No works are required either at subdivision or future dwelling stage that would lower the water table.

Therefore, the proposed subdivision and subsequent future dwellings will not result in any works that would trigger the need for any further assessment as no ASS would be disturbed or be in proximity to any ASS below 1mAHD.

Visual Impacts

The subject land does not occupy any prominent ridgeline and is surrounded by Scribbly Gum forest that provides an effective visual screen to the identified building envelopes from Beranghi Road and from existing adjoining dwellings. The subject land is not visible from any public places or viewing areas and future dwellings will result in minimal visual impacts.



<u>4.3.3 - Question 10</u> - Has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?

Although of minor significance, the Planning Proposal will facilitate subdivision that will provide direct and indirect capital and recurrence expenditure that will support the local economy, schools and social groups without diminishing any ecological values of the land and locality.

4.4 - State and Commonwealth Interests

<u>4.4.1 - Question 11</u> - Is there adequate public infrastructure for the planning proposal?

Being located in a rural area, adequate infrastructure is available to service the proposed subdivision, including:

- Bitumen sealed road access via Crescent Head Road and Beranghi Road
- Reticulated electricity
- telecommunications

<u>4.4.2 - Question 12</u> - What are the views of state and federal public authorities and government agencies consulted in order to inform the Gateway Determination?

Although it is noted that this will be determined following referral by Council to relevant agencies, early scoping investigations determined that referral to agencies prior to submitting the Planning Proposal was not necessary in this instance for the following reasons:

- The lack of any required clearing (except for 1 only Scribbly Gum) to be more than compensated by retention of the forest area and general findings of the Wolf Peak report as reasons for determining that pre-referral to Biodiversity and Conservation is not required. (See Attachment 'Ecological Assessment for Proposed Subdivision Lot 1 DP842144 Beranghi Road WolfPeak (October 2022)'
- Being for 1 additional lot only and as the bushfire assessment was prepared by an accredited bushfire consultant, pre-referral to the NSWRFS is unnecessary. (See attachment 'Bushfire Assessment Report Midcoast Building and Environmental (October 2022)'
- The lack of any identified Aboriginal relics, as confirmed by the Kempsey Local Aboriginal Land Council report. (See attachment – 'Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report - Kempsey Local Aboriginal Land Council (September 2022)' and 'Aboriginal Heritage AHIMS Report (September 2022)')
- Council did not identify any pre-referral requirements in its Pre-lodgement Advice to the owner.
- Consideration of referral requirements contained in relevant State Environmental Planning Policies indicating that the proposed development is below referral thresholds.
- Consideration of the requirements and comments of the two agencies Biodiversity and Conservation and NSW Rural Fire Service in respect to an almost identical Planning Proposal involving very similar issues for a recently approved Planning Proposal on the adjoining lot.



5.0 Maps

5.1 Current Land Use Zone Applying to the Land

The subject land is currently zoned RU4 Primary Production Small Lots under Kempsey Local Environmental Plan 2013 (KLEP 2013).



Figure 16: Source ePlanning Spatial Viewer KLEP 2013 Land Zoning Map

5.2 Current Development Standards Relating to the Land (i.e. FSR, building height, minimum lot size)

KLEP 2013 maps relating to development standards that apply to the subject land is restricted to the Lot Size Map.





Figure 17: Source ePlanning Spatial Viewer- Lot Size Map 12 KLEP 2013

5.3 A Map Illustrating the Extent of the Proposed Revised Development Standard, if a Change to a Development Standard is Proposed

The development standard proposed to be changed relates to the minimum permissible lot size from 8ha to 4ha to be achieved by an amendment to Lot Size Map 12.



AB3 (40ha) (4ha) AA2 (8ha) ESCENT HEAD

Figure 18: Proposed Revised Lot Size Map 12

6.0 Community Consultation

Community consultation will be undertaken in accordance with Council's *Procedure 1.1.9 – Rezoning Applications* which provides for a process that includes:

- public notification with a public notice being placed in the local newspaper
- a copy of the planning proposal being placed on Council's website
- separate letters may also be sent to landowners adjoining the planning proposal site (as appropriate)
- public notification of a housekeeping or major amendment will be for a minimum period of 28 days.

The Gateway Determination also identifies State agencies that are to be notified (by Council) during the consultation period.

7.0 Project Timeline

The following project timeline has been prepared having regard to the Benchmarking Timeframes contained in the guidelines and Council Procedure 1.1.9 Rezoning Applications.

Task	Responsibility	Expected Completion Date
Pre-lodgement	Applicant	Completed
Planning Proposal submitted	Applicant	November 2022
to Council		(REV1 December 2022)
Planning Proposal	Council	80 days
Gateway Determination	DPIE	25 days
Post-Gateway	Council	20 days
dates for public hearing (if required)	Council	



Public Exhibition and Assessment	Council	70 days
Finalisation	Council	25 days

